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[^0]Table 1: Number and Percentage of TAG-Identified Students ${ }^{1}$ by School

| School Name | \# TAG Identified | 2016-17 <br> \# of Students <br> Enrolled | \% TAG |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| John Adams | 83 | 911 | $9 \%$ |
| Charles Barrett | 67 | 472 | $14 \%$ |
| Patrick Henry | 59 | 582 | $10 \%$ |
| Jefferson-Houston | 43 | 530 | $8 \%$ |
| Cora Kelly | 13 | 408 | $3 \%$ |
| Lyles-Crouch | 79 | 431 | $18 \%$ |
| Douglas MacArthur | 118 | 699 | $17 \%$ |
| George Mason | 109 | 553 | $20 \%$ |
| Matthew Maury | 122 | 409 | $30 \%$ |
| Mount Vernon | 94 | 894 | $11 \%$ |
| James K. Polk | 78 | 796 | $10 \%$ |
| William Ramsay | 33 | 890 | $4 \%$ |
| Samuel Tucker | 56 | 755 | $7 \%$ |
| Francis C. Hammond | 155 | 1451 | $11 \%$ |
| George Washington | 427 | 1338 | $32 \%$ |
| T.C. Williams | 377 | 3800 | $10 \%$ |
| Division Total ${ }^{2}$ | 1913 | 14973 | $13 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Data from the EOY Student Record Collection (SRC) file and includes students in grades K-12.
${ }^{2}$ Division total includes special situation students.
Table 2: Number and Percentage of TAG-Identified Students ${ }^{1}$ by Race

| School Year | \# of Students Enrolled | \# TAG Identified | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% } \\ \text { TAG } \end{gathered}$ |  | \% <br> Asian <br> TAG |  | \% <br> Black <br> TAG | Hispanic <br> Enrolled | Hispanic <br> TAG |  | \% White TAG |  | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Other } \\ \text { TAG } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2016-17 Total ${ }^{3}$ | 14973 | 1913 | 13\% | 5\% | 5\% | 29\% | 16\% | 37\% | 11\% | 27\% | 62\% | 3\% | 6\% |

[^1]Table 3: Number and Percentage of TAG-Identified Students ${ }^{1}$ by Special Programs

| School Year | \# of Students Enrolled | \# TAG <br> Identified | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% } \\ \text { TAG } \end{gathered}$ | \% <br>  <br> Reduced <br> Price Meals | \% <br> Free \& Reduced Price Meals TAG | \% <br> English Learner ${ }^{2}$ | \% English Learner ${ }^{2}$ <br> TAG | \% <br> Special <br> Education | \% <br> Special Education TAG |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2016-17 Total ${ }^{3}$ | 14973 | 1913 | 13\% | 63\% | 19\% | 43\% | 11\% | 11\% | 2\% |

${ }^{1}$ Data from the EOY Student Record Collection (SRC) file and includes students in grades K-12.
${ }^{2}$ EL data contain all students identified as LEP proficiency levels 1-6.
${ }^{3}$ Division total includes special situation students.
Table 4: Number and Percentage of TAG-Identified Students ${ }^{1}$ by Gender

|  | \# of <br> Students <br> Enrolled | \# TAG <br> Identified | \% TAG | \% <br> Male | \% Male <br> TAG | \% <br> Female | \% Female <br> TAG |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2016-17 Total $^{2}$ | 14973 | 1913 | $13 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $52 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Data from the EOY Student Record Collection (SRC) file and includes students in grades K-12.
${ }^{2}$ Division total includes special situation students.

Table 5: Number and Percentage of TAG-Identified Students ${ }^{1}$ by Grade

| Grade | 2016-2017 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# TAG Identified | \# of Students Enrolled | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% } \\ \text { TAG } \end{gathered}$ |
| KG | 12 | 1477 | 1\% |
| 1 | 106 | 1463 | 7\% |
| 2 | 116 | 1365 | 8\% |
| 3 | 151 | 1322 | 11\% |
| 4 | 286 | 1344 | 21\% |
| 5 | 267 | 1217 | 22\% |
| Elementary School | 938 | 8188 | 11\% |
| 6 | 211 | 1032 | 20\% |
| 7 | 218 | 955 | 23\% |
| 8 | 169 | 968 | 17\% |
| Middle School | 598 | 2955 | 20\% |
| 9 | 128 | 1190 | 11\% |
| 10 | 82 | 992 | 8\% |
| 11 | 90 | 852 | 11\% |
| 12 | 77 | 796 | 10\% |
| High School | 377 | 3830 | 10\% |
| Division Total ${ }^{2}$ | 1913 | 14973 | 13\% |

${ }^{1}$ Data from the EOY Student Record Collection (SRC) file and
includes students in grades $\mathrm{K}-12$.
${ }^{2}$ Division total includes special situation students.

Table 6: Number and Percentage of TAG Students ${ }^{1}$ by TAG Aptitude Area and Grade Level SY 2106-2017
$\left.\begin{array}{|c|ccc|cccccc|}\hline \text { Grade Level } & \begin{array}{c}\text { \# of } \\ \text { Students } \\ \text { Enrolled }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { TAG ID } \\ \text { Students }\end{array} & \text { \% TAG } & \begin{array}{c}\text { SAA } \\ \text { Math }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { SAA } \\ \text { Language } \\ \text { Arts }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { SAA } \\ \text { Science }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { SAA } \\ \text { Social } \\ \text { Studies }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { SAA } \\ \text { Performing } \\ \text { Arts }^{2}\end{array} & \text { GIA }\end{array}\right]$

[^2]Table 7: Number and Percentage of Elementary Young Scholars by Grade Levels Served ${ }^{1}$

| School | Grade <br> levels <br> served | \# Young <br> Scholars | 2016-17 <br> Total \# students <br> in grade levels <br> served | \% Young <br> Scholars |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Patrick Henry | $1-5$ | 85 | 453 | $19 \%$ |
| James K. Polk | $1-4$ | 63 | 501 | $13 \%$ |
| Mount Vernon | $1-4$ | 37 | 588 | $6 \%$ |
| Cora Kelly | K-2 | 64 | 223 | $29 \%$ |
| Division Total | K-5 | $\mathbf{2 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 6 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 \%}$ |

${ }^{1}$ "Grade levels served" describes the grade level(s) from which
students are identified for services, which begin the following summer and include those students who were identified in previous years.

Table 8: Number and Percentage of Elementary Young Scholars by Subgroup

| Subgroup |  | 2016-17 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | \# Young Scholars | \% Young <br> Scholars |
|  | American Indian/Alaska Native | 0 | 0\% |
|  | Asian | 14 | 6\% |
|  | Black | 89 | 36\% |
|  | Hispanic | 120 | 49\% |
|  | Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander | 0 | 0\% |
|  | White | 15 | 6\% |
|  | Other | 9 | 4\% |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { む } \\ & \text { © } \\ & \text { © } \end{aligned}$ | Female | 129 | 52\% |
|  | Male | 118 | 48\% |
| All Students |  | 247 | 100\% |

Table 9: Reading SOL Performance of TAG-Identified Students

| Level | Pass/ | Pass/ <br> Pdvanced <br> Proficient | Overall <br> Pass | Fail | $\mathbf{n}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $62 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $98 \%$ | $2 \%$ | 703 |
| Middle | $46 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $98 \%$ | $2 \%$ | 598 |
| High | $29 \%$ | $71 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | 90 |
| Division | $53 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $98 \%$ | $2 \%$ | 1391 |

Table 10: Math SOL Performance of TAG-Identified Students

| Level | Pass/ | Pass/ <br>  <br>  <br> Advanced <br> Proficient | Overall <br> Pass | Fail | $\mathbf{n}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $54 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $98 \%$ | $2 \%$ | 705 |
| Middle | $24 \%$ | $72 \%$ | $96 \%$ | $4 \%$ | 593 |
| High | $39 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $93 \%$ | $7 \%$ | 144 |
| Division | $40 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $97 \%$ | $3 \%$ | 1442 |

Table 11: Social Studies SOL Performance of TAG-Identified Students

| Level | Pass/ | Pass/ <br>  <br>  <br> Advanced <br> Proficient | Overall <br> Pass | Fail | $\mathbf{n}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $72 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $98 \%$ | $2 \%$ | 287 |
| Middle | $63 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | 168 |
| High | $62 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | 294 |
| Division | $66 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $99 \%$ | $1 \%$ | 749 |

Table 12: Science SOL Performance of TAG-Identified Students

| Level | Pass/ | Pass/ 2016-17 <br> Pdvanced <br> Proficient | Overall <br> Pass | Fail | $\mathbf{n}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $60 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $99 \%$ | $1 \%$ | 267 |
| Middle | $33 \%$ | $67 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | 168 |
| High | $53 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $99 \%$ | $1 \%$ | 231 |
| Division | $51 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $99 \%$ | $1 \%$ | 666 |

Table 13: AP Exam Performance of TAG-Identified Students by Discipline

| AP Discipline |  | AP Score 3, 4 or $5 \quad$ Spring 2017 |  | Total \# AP Exams |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English | \# of AP Exams \% | $\begin{gathered} \hline 87 \\ 81 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 28 \\ 26 \% \end{gathered}$ | 107 |
| History \& Social Science | \# of AP Exams \% | $\begin{gathered} 223 \\ 92 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 112 \\ & 46 \% \end{aligned}$ | 243 |
| Mathematics \& Computer Science | \# of AP Exams \% | $\begin{gathered} 70 \\ 69 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ 10 \% \end{gathered}$ | 102 |
| Sciences | \# of AP Exams \% | $\begin{gathered} 77 \\ 61 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16 \\ 13 \% \end{gathered}$ | 127 |
| World Languages | \# of AP Exams \% | $\begin{gathered} 23 \\ 92 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ 8 \% \end{gathered}$ | 25 |
| Total ${ }^{1}$ | \# of AP Exams \% | $\begin{aligned} & 493 \\ & 80 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 170 \\ & 28 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 617 |

${ }^{1}$ Total includes exams for AP Arts and AP Seminar courses, not reported independently based on the small numbers.
Table 14: Number of AP Exams Taken by TAG-Identified Students ${ }^{1}$

| School Year | \# TAG Identified | \# of TAG identified ${ }^{2}$ with <br> AP Exam Results | \% of TAG students <br> taking AP exam | Average \# of <br> tests taken | Total \# of exams taken by TAG <br> Identified Students |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2016-17 | 249 | 208 | $84 \%$ | 610 |  |

${ }^{2}$ Grades 10-12 only.
Table 15: Number of TAG-Identified Students Receiving AP Honors Awards

| Year | \# of Students Receiving AP Honor Awards |
| :---: | :---: |
| $2016-17$ | 113 |

Table 16: Number and Percentage of TAG-Identified Students by Diploma Awarded

| Year | Advanced Studies Diploma |  | Standard Diploma <br>  <br>  <br> \# of TAG Identified Students$\quad \%$ of TAG Identified students |  | \# of TAG Identified Students | \% of TAG Identified students |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2016-17$ | 68 | $89 \%$ | 7 |  |  |  |

Table 17: Number of Staff Certified in Gifted Education by Level

| Staff | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Elementary School Teachers | 35 |
| Middle School Teachers | 24 |
| High School Teachers | 5 |
| Administrator | 3 |
| Total Staff | $\mathbf{6 7}$ |

Table 18: Number of Annual TAG Professional Development Courses \& Participants

| School Year | \# of Professional Development <br> Courses Offered | \# of Participants |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2016-17$ | 15 | 170 |

Table 19: Titles of TAG Professional Development Courses

| Professional Development Courses Offered | Hours | 2016-17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. William \& Mary Gifted Endorsement Cohort (2 year commitment) | 360 | X |
| 2. Introduction to Young Scholars | 16 | X |
| 3. Nurturing Young Scholars in the Regular Classroom | 20 | X |
| 4. Identifying Young Scholars in the Classroom | 5 | X |
| 5. Young Scholars Summer Curriculum Training | 8 | X |
| 6. National Association of Gifted Conference | 16 | X |
| 7. TAG Teacher Monthly Professional Development | 22 | X |
| 8. TAG Designee Monthly Professional Development | 10 | X |
| 9. Honors-Strategies for Differentiation | 24 | X |
| 10. Renzulli Learning Systems | 6 |  |
| 11. Honors-Flipped Instruction Book Club | 24 | X |
| 12. General Intellectual Ability Integrated Curriculum Units | 3 |  |
| 13. Writing DEPs for K-3 students | 3 |  |
| 14. Honors-ELA Workshop | 6 |  |
| 15. Grammar Institute | 6 |  |
| 16. Writing Differentiated Education Plans (DEPs) for Middle School TAG Students | 12 |  |
| 17. Differentiation Content Academy | 7 | X |
| 18. TAG Teacher Lesson Development | 3 | X |
| 19. Blended Learning in the TAG Classroom | 6 | X |
| 20. Odyssey of the Mind-Coaching | 33 | X |
| 21. Odyssey of the Mind-State Competition | 12 | X |
| TOTAL Professional Development Courses Offered |  | 15 |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Data tables are targeted for release no later than November $30^{\text {th }}$ on an annual basis. Any subsequent changes in out years to indicators may impact this target date.

[^1]:    Data from the EOY Student Record Collection (SRC) file and includes students in grades K-12
    ${ }^{2}$ Division total includes special situation students.
    ${ }^{3}$ Students identified as American Indian and Native Hawaiian, Other Pacific Islander, or multi-racial are included in "Other".

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ Data from the EOY Student Record Collection (SRC) file and includes students in grades K-12.
    ${ }^{2}$ The most recent TAG Plan that was developed in Fall 2012 phased out the identification of students with a Specific Academic Aptitude in Visual \& Performing Arts; therefore, any students in this column had been identified prior to 2012.
    ${ }^{3}$ Division total includes special situation students.

    * Students in subgroup is less than 5 , thus the data are not reported.

